

Docket No.: 247309US2/jkl

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Seiji TAKAHASHI

SERIAL NUMBER: 10/748,133

GROUP: 2626

FILED: December 31, 2003

EXAMINER: GODBOLD, DOUGLAS

FOR: INFORMATION SERVICE APPARATUS AND METHOD, INFORMATION
DISPLAY APPARATUS AND INFORMATION SERVICE SYSTEM

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the indication of Allowability of the claimed invention. In response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance in the Notice of Allowance of November 9, 2010, Applicant respectfully submits the following comments.

In the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance on pages 3 and 4 of the Notice of Allowance mailed November 9, 2010, paragraphs 7 and 8 state in part:

Consider claim 1, the prior art of record, specifically Heiny and Seider, do not specifically teach alone or in combination the limitations of "when said language correspondence judgment part determines that said information in the language designated by said discrimination information cannot be provided, said language determination part acquires language data corresponding to the language selected by the selection received at said interface and currently used for displaying said operation information on said operation panel and selects said acquired language data as language data of said language used for providing said information" where the terminal is connected to the information service apparatus by a network and the operation panel is located at the information service apparatus. Therefore claim 1 is allowable.

Claims 8 and 9 are allowable as well as they contain similar limitations as claim 1.

Although the above comment seems to be directed to independent Claims 1, and 8, it is respectfully submitted that independent Claim 9 does not include all of the elements recited above. For example, Claim 9 recites a method comprising “a language correspondence judgment procedure” and not an apparatus comprising “a language correspondence judgment part.” Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the above quoted statement applies only to independent Claims 1 and 8 (and claims dependent therefrom), and not to independent Claim 9 (and claims dependent therefrom), to the extent the language used in the statement differs from the language of the claims.

Respectfully Submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.



James J. Kulbaski
Registration No. 34,648

Customer Number

22850

Tel. (703) 413-3000
Fax. (703) 413-2220
(OSMMN 07/09)

Craig R. Feinberg
Registration No. 62,116